

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,321	10/18/2001	Yanling Zhou	211598US2	1536
22850 7	590 03/03/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		PAIK, SANG YEOP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t	<u> </u>						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/869,321	ZHOU, YANLING				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sang Y Paik	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims						
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application						
5)	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·							
	ion Papers	ologian roquilomom					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/869,321

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24, 25, 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kano et al (US 6,242,719).

Kano et al anticipates the ceramic heater claimed including a disc-shaped ceramic substrate made of a nitride ceramic, a resistance heating element made of metal arranged on a surface of the ceramic substrate, an insulating layer made of oxide glass such as silica covering the heating element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/869,321

Art Unit: 3742

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (US 6,072,162) in view of Nobori et al (US 5,616,024) or Kano et al (US 6,242,719) and Allen (US 4,057,707) or Mio et al (US 4,536,645).

Ito et al shows the ceramic heater claimed including an AlN ceramic substrate, a resistant heating element comprising one or more circuits on a surface of the ceramic substrate, the ceramic heater having through holes for accommodating lifting pins to lift a wafer. However, Ito et al does not show the ceramic substrate is a disc-formed and the insulating covering over the heating element.

Nobori et al and Kano et al show ceramic heaters having a disc-shaped ceramic substrate with a resistant heating element used for heating a semiconductor wafer.

Allen shows a ceramic heater having a ceramic substrate having a heating element with an insulation layer comprising oxide glass material that covers the heating element. Mio et al also shows a heating element having an insulation layer made of an oxide ceramic material such alumina or a resin material such as silicone resin.

In view of Nobori et al or Kano et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ito et al with the ceramic substrate in a disc-shape to accommodate the wafers that conventionally manufactured in the disc-shape and to further uniformly heat the disc-shaped wafers in a disc-shaped ceramic heater; and in view of Allen or Mio et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to insulate the heating element so that the heating element is physically and electrically insulated or protected from exterior environment.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,321

Art Unit: 3742

Page 4

With respect to claims 28 and 29, Kano et al and Allen show that it is known in the art to make the heating element with metal or metal particles since metals provide the necessary electric resistance to produce power or heating. In view of Kano et al or Allen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ito et al with the heating element having metal or metal particles to provide the electrically resistance in the heating element to produce the power or heating that is necessary to treat the desired objects including wafers.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

۶.۶.

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

syp February 27, 2003